



International Physicists' Tournament

Date: 12 May 2022

Time: 16:00 COL

Decision Assembly

Location: Bucaramanga, Colombia + Zoom

Meeting called by: Anastasiia Vasylychenkova **Type of meeting:** Decision Committee Assembly

Facilitator: Alberto Rolandi **Note taker:** Evgeniy Glushkov

Attendees:

<u>Execom</u> Evgeniy Glushkov (+Switzerland) Anastasiia Vasylychenkova (+UK) Matheus Pessoa (+Canada) Alberto Rolandi Camilla Diaz David Collomb	<u>IOC</u> Ake Andersson (Sweden) Christos Andrikopoulos (Greece) Federico Serrano Morales (Colombia) Anastasiia Haieva (Ukraine, delegate) Maria Carolina França Volpato (Brazil) Krzysztof Turzynski (Poland) Nikola Poljak (Croatia) Arnaud Raoux (France) Aeysha Khalique (Pakistan) Manuel Morgado (Venezuela) Andrew Penton (Australia) Peter Vesborg (Denmark) Florian Hirsch, Lisanne Loher (Germany, delegates) Władysław Sowul, Szymon Błazucki (the Netherlands, delegates)
---	--

Observers
Cyrus Walther (IAPS)

also Evgenii, Anastasiia, Matheus (in Execom)

Apologies: Stanislav Vinogradov (Russia), Vladimir Vanovsky (execom), Nicoletta Mauri (Italy), Dina Izadi (Iran), Suvendu Barik (India), Nikolay Lysenko (execom, design), Nikolay Avdeev (execom, IPT connect)

Minutes

Agenda item: Last IPT feedback **Moderator:** Anastasiia Vasylychenkova

Discussion:

P. Vesborg raises the question of logarithmic vs. linear grading scale in CFs.

Krzysztof raises several concerns about the current PF grading at the IPT. He suggests making a task force, working on a common amendment to the IPT rules regarding grading (i.e. make each juror explain their mark). E. Glushkov agrees. A. Rolandi reminds that it's important to keep the jury grading independent from each other. Also suggest to swap feedback



from jurors (obligatory) and showing the grades. M. Pessoa suggests formalizing the feedback, F.Serrano suggests a grading & feedback form.

Krzystof raises the concern about the role of the reviewer and suggests changing the name of it and describing more clearly its tasks. Most of the online IOCs agree. Anastasiia from the Ukraine team suggests making a short video describing the roles.

A. Rolandi suggests renaming the Opponent as well.

German team says that the role, tasks and grading of the Reviewer are clear.

David agrees that more information about the Reviewer should be given.

Krzystof talks about problems requiring expensive scientific equipment, suggesting to take this into account when compiling the list of problems for next year. A. Rolandi agrees. A. Vasylychenkova suggests putting a passage about recommendations for the proposed problems to the problem suggestion form.

Agenda item: IAPS partnership

Moderator: Anastasiia Vasylychenkova

Discussion:

Throughout the year we have been shaping the agreement with the International Association of Physics Students. The proposal is to become a major event under the umbrella of the IAPS, getting more certainty in terms of funding and publicity, but getting some bureaucratic burden. It appeared to be a contradictory topic within our community, so, I would very much like all opinions to be spoken up and heard. I am attaching a copy of last year's DA minutes, it may help to recall the decision made so far. At the current IAPS, I will present the agreement proposal, commitments and benefits. If the IAPS agreement goes live (it is subject to approval for both our DA and their decision body - Annual General Meeting happening in August), we will have to make live



- Krzystof says that it shouldn't be an external body. Suggests that it's not a committee, but a code of conduct (which should also be written). And then Execom is a body enough of making sure this code of conduct is implemented.
- M. Pessoa and A. Rolandi suggested that it shouldn't be just in case of war, but also to enable general well-being of the IPT participants during the event.
- Christos raises up question of a faster communication channel for the IOC with Execom. A. Rolandi proposes to use Discord as everyone is used to it after the tournament.
- Anastasiia from Ukraine mentions that we should distinguish between banning countries, universities and individuals.

Registration of the mixed country team. Come up with a regulated way of dealing with mixed countries' participants.

- Krzystof says that not only universities, but also science clubs should be allowed to join IPT. A. Vasylenkova says that there's no prohibition in the rules for that.
- A. Rolandi says that it can be dealt with on a case-by-case basis. Christos says it's better to have it written in the rules. U. Mur strongly supports this idea, and thinks it's necessary to write down clear rules. Team Germany says that it is not a simple issue as it involves nationality and/or university affiliation. Many other opinions were expressed, but most people agree that a rule about that should be written. Execom will moderate this process.